

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/884,706	06/19/2001	Patrick W. Breslin	12521-009	4055		
48276	7590 12/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
TIFFANY & BOSCO			LUGO, C	LUGO, CARLOS		
CAMELBACK ESPLANADE II, THIRD FLOOR 2525 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHOENIX, A			3676	.		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/884,706	BRESLIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Carlos Lugo	3676		

	Carlos Lugo	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-4,7-14,17,20-22 and 26-29</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,6,15,16,18,19 and 23-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	BRIAN E. GLESSNER		
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA	MINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 13. Other: After further consideration, the application is not in condition for allowance. The applicant incorporate the limitations presented in claims 1 and 5, into claim 6. However, claims 1,5 and 6 were rejection in view of Geer, as modified by Saitoh in Page 2 point 3 and Page 3 Lines 9-18 of the last Office action mailed on May 9, 2006.

There was a typographical error in page 4 point 8, were it should be claims 4,7-11,13,14,17,20-22,27 and 28 and not claim 6.

The Office Action was clear that claims 1,5 and 6 were rejected (Page 3 Lines 9-18). Also, point 5 establishes that the claims would also be allowed because they depend from claims 2,3,12,26 and 29. Claim 6 does not depend from any of those claims.

Nevertheless, the applicant had 6 months to examine and read the complete action and examine the rejection in order to corroborate the content of the Office Action and to contact the Office of any misunderstanding.